1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 MOON ENG, et al., No. C-12-02534 DMR 8 Plaintiffs, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE 9 v. 10 WELLS FARGO BANK, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 13 Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. has filed a motion to dismiss. [Docket No. 5.] Currently, 14 the motion is set for a court hearing on August 9, 2012. Plaintiffs Moon Eng and Shirley Armintrout 15 are pursuing this action without legal representation. According to the court's local rules, Plaintiffs 16 should have filed any brief in opposition to Defendant's motion by June 6, 2012. See N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 7-3. The court has received no such opposition. 17 18 The court ORDERS Plaintiffs to respond by June 28, 2012 and explain their failure to 19 respond to the motion to dismiss. In addition, Plaintiffs must simultaneously (1) submit their 20 opposition to the court or (2) file a statement of non-opposition to the motion. This order to show 21 22

cause does not indicate that the court will necessarily accept Plaintiffs' late submission. If Plaintiffs do not respond by June 28, 2012, Defendant's motion to dismiss may be granted.

The court further ORDERS that Defendant shall file a reply, if any, within seven days after Plaintiffs' opposition is filed, and no later than July 5, 2012.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: June 18, 2012

23

24

25

27

28

United States Magistrate Judge